

Engineering Physics 6D3  
Modular Course Problem Set #3  
Due 2003 October 31

Problems 1 – 2: 10 marks each

Problem 3: 25 marks

Problem 4: 15 marks

Problem 5: 40 marks

Total: 100 marks

1. Plot the fuel-temperature reactivity coefficient against average exit burnup (using the reaction-rate-averaged option in PPV). Does it ever change sign?
2. Using the result from #1, and assuming only fuel temperature changes with power level, can you estimate the reactivity coefficient of reactor power around full power? i.e., how much does the reactivity range change for a 1% increase in power from full power?  
Assume that fuel temperature at “hot shutdown”  $\sim 270$  °C and that it is  $\sim 700$  °C at 100% power.
3. The lattice void reactivity in milli-k is defined in terms of the infinite-lattice multiplication constants for the cooled and voided lattice:

$$\rho_{void} = 1000 \left[ \frac{1}{k_{\infty}(cooled)} - \frac{1}{k_{\infty}(voided)} \right]$$

- Calculate the coolant-void reactivity as a function of exit irradiation from reaction-rate-averaged runs. Plot also the change in each of the 4 factors in the 4-factor formula on voiding against irradiation. Which of the 4 curves features the most dramatic change against irradiation? Can you think why?
4. Consider a planar source of neutrons in an infinite medium consisting of mixture of cadmium, water and aluminum. Use the following properties for the mixture:  
 $S = 100$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> sec,  $\Sigma_a = 12.56$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $D = 0.5252$  cm,  $L = 0.2045$  cm.
    - a. Solve for and plot the steady state flux profile as a function of distance from the source using analytical methods.
    - b. If you were to solve this problem numerically, as you will in Question 5, you'd need to approximate infinity somehow. Determine, from your answer to part (a), the distance (in terms of the diffusion length L, ie 1 L, 2L, 10L, 100L) at which the flux is effectively zero.
  5. Using the boundary conditions determined in Question 4, calculate the steady state flux profile numerically. Compare the steady state flux profiles for Questions 4 and 5. Comment.

```

// For Problem Set #3, question 5
// Case: Source in the middle of a slab, steady state
// Method: Gauss-Seidel

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <conio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    int i, j, num_cell=11, num_iter=20;
    float flux[13]; //make room for real cells + phantoms at the edges
                    //size = num_cell+2

    float s[13];
    float S=???; //source strength #/cm^2/s
    float h=2.0/num_cell; //cell size = 2 cm / number of cells
                    // ie +/- 1 cm about the origin
    float D=???; // diffusion length
    float e=???; //absorption cross section

    for (i=1;i<=num_cell;i++)
    {
        flux[i]=0.0; // initial guess at flux
        s[i]=0; // set source = 0
    }
    s[(num_cell+1)/2]=???; // set source strength at centre
    flux[0]=0.0;
    flux[num_cell+1]=0.0; // flux is zero at edges

    for (j=0;j<num_iter;j++)
    {
        printf("\n%d",j);
        /* this is the equation derived from the difference formula */
        for (i=1;i<=num_cell;i++)
        {
            flux[i]=???;
            printf("\t%.4f",flux[i]);
        }
    }
    getch(); /* allows the outbox to vanish by hitting any key*/
    return 0;
}
//-----

```